



REGULATION OF THE ACCREDITATION COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION
NUMBER 27 OF 2023
CONCERNING
THE STUDY PROGRAM ACCREDITATION MECHANISM
IN THE SCOPE EDUCATION
ACCREDITATION COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION

BY THE GRACE OF GOD ALMIGHTY
THE BOARD OF EXECUTIVES OF THE ACCREDITATION COUNCIL FOR
EDUCATION

Considering : That in order to implement Ministerial Regulation of the Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 5 of 2020 concerning Accreditation of Study Programs and Higher Education Institutions, and to align with new policies regarding Accreditation of Study Programs in the Field of Education, it is deemed necessary to establish a Regulation of the Accreditation Council for Education concerning the Study Program Accreditation Mechanism in The Scope Education as conducted by the Accreditation Council for Education (ACE).

Taking into Account: 1. Law Number 12 of 2012 concerning Higher Education (State Gazette of the Republic of Indonesia Year 2012 Number 158, Supplement to the State Gazette of the Republic of Indonesia Number 5336);
2. Ministerial Regulation of the Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia Number 62 of 2016 concerning the Higher Education Quality Assurance System;

3. Ministerial Regulation of the Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 3 of 2020 concerning National Higher Education Standards (State Gazette of the Republic of Indonesia Year 2020 Number 47);
4. Ministerial Regulation of the Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 5 of 2020 concerning Accreditation of Study Programs and Higher Education Institutions (State Gazette of the Republic of Indonesia Year 2020 Number 49);
5. Ministerial Regulation of the Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 7 of 2020 concerning the Establishment, Modification, and Dissolution of State Universities, and the Establishment, Modification, and Revocation of Licenses for Private Universities (State Gazette of the Republic of Indonesia Year 2020 Number 51);
6. Decree of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 83/P/2020 concerning International Accreditation Institutions;
7. Decree of the Minister of Research, Technology, and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia Number T/497/M/T.00/2019 concerning Ministerial Approval for the Proposal to Establish the Accreditation Council for Education;
8. Decree of the Minister of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia Number 90845/MPK.A/AG.01.00/2021 concerning Approval of the Unit Cost for Study Program Accreditation;
9. Decree of the Minister of Law and Human Rights of the Republic of Indonesia Number AHU-0018765.AH.01.04 of 2019, concerning the Legal Entity Establishment of the Accreditation Council for Education Foundation;

10. National Accreditation Board for Higher Education Regulation Number 9 of 2020 concerning the Policy on the Transfer of Study Program Accreditation from the National Accreditation Board for Higher Education to Independent Accreditation Agencies;
11. National Accreditation Board for Higher Education Regulation Number 10 of 2021 concerning the Accreditation Instrument for Bachelor's Study Programs in the Field of Education;
12. National Accreditation Board for Higher Education Regulation Number 2 of 2022 concerning the Accreditation Instrument for Study Programs in the Field of Education;
13. National Accreditation Board for Higher Education Regulation Number 1 of 2022 concerning the Accreditation Mechanism Conducted by the National Accreditation Board for Higher Education;
14. National Accreditation Board for Higher Education Regulation Number 19 of 2022 concerning the Scope of Study Program Accreditation by Independent Accreditation Agencies;
15. National Accreditation Board for Higher Education Regulation Number 25 of 2022 concerning the Scope of Study Program Accreditation by Independent Accreditation Agencies;
16. National Accreditation Board for Higher Education Regulation Number 29 of 2022 concerning the Expansion of Study Program Scope in the Accreditation Council for Education;
17. National Accreditation Board for Higher Education Regulation Number 1 of 2023 concerning the Scope of Study Program Accreditation by Independent Accreditation Agencies;

18. Decree of the Executive Board Director of the National Accreditation Board for Higher Education Number 003/BAN-PT/SK/V/2023 concerning Revisions to the Scope of Study Program Accreditation for the May 2023 Review Period;
19. Articles of Association of the Accreditation Council for Education Foundation (2019);
20. Bylaws of the Accreditation Council for Education Foundation Number 1/PEMB. LAMDIK/I/2021.

DECIDES

Considering: REGULATION OF THE ACCREDITATION COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION ON THE STUDY PROGRAM ACCREDITATION MECHANISM IN THE SCOPE EDUCATION ACCREDITATION COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 1

In this Regulation of the Accreditation Council for Education, the following definitions apply:

1. Accreditation refers to the assessment activity conducted to determine the eligibility of Educational Study Programs.
2. National Accreditation Board for Higher Education, hereinafter abbreviated as BAN-PT, refers to the body established by the Government to conduct and develop the Accreditation of Higher Education Institutions independently.
3. Accreditation Council for Education, hereinafter abbreviated as ACE, refers to an institution established by the public to conduct the Accreditation of Study Programs in the field of education independently.
4. Accreditation of Educational Study Programs, refers to the assessment activity conducted to determine the eligibility of Study Programs in the Field of Education.
5. Accreditation Instrument for Educational Study Programs 1.0, refers to the accreditation instrument that has been in effect since March 31, 2022,

developed by ACE in accordance with laws and regulations, guided by the National Higher Education Standards, and established by BAN-PT.

6. Accreditation Status refers to the result of the accreditation process conducted by ACE, consisting of Accredited and Not Accredited statuses.
7. Accreditation Rating or Accredited Rating refers to the accreditation results issued by ACE, which consist of the following classifications: Excellent (Unggul), Very Good (Baik Sekali), and Good (Baik).
8. Accreditation Documents refer to the set of documents required for the accreditation process, in accordance with the applicable accreditation instrument.
9. Adequacy Assessment, refers to the evaluation of accreditation documents submitted by higher education institutions as part of the accreditation process.
10. Onsite Assessment, refers to the evaluation and confirmation of data and information contained in the accreditation documents, conducted at the Study Program location as part of the accreditation process.
11. Assessor refers to an individual appointed by ACE, based on their competence, to conduct accreditation assessments.
12. Accreditation Panel, hereinafter referred to as MA, refers to the Accreditation Panel of ACE.
13. ACE Accreditation Management Information System, hereinafter referred to as SIMALAMDIK, refers to the accreditation information system developed and utilized by ACE.
14. Ministry refers to the ministry responsible for government affairs in the field of education.
15. Minister refers to the minister responsible for government affairs in the field of education.
16. Higher Education Database, hereinafter referred to as PDDikti, refers to a nationally integrated database containing data on higher education administration across all universities within the Ministry.
17. International Accreditation Agency refers to an accreditation body that conducts accreditation in more than one country and is recognized by the Minister.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 2

- (1) ACE conducts Accreditation of Study Programs in the Field of Education using accreditation instruments.
- (2) The accreditation instruments are developed based on the National Higher Education Standards.
- (3) ACE carries out accreditation for State Universities (PTN), State Legal Entity Universities (PTN-BH), and Private Universities (PTS) under the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia and the Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia.
- (4) ACE conducts the accreditation process for the following academic levels:
 - a. Bachelor's Study Programs in the field of Education Sciences;
 - b. Professional Study Programs in the field of Education Sciences;
 - c. Master's Study Programs in the field of Education Sciences;
 - d. Doctoral Study Programs in the field of Education Sciences.

ACCREDITATION PROCESS

Article 3

- (1) The accreditation process consists of the following stages:
 - a. Online Registration (RO), which initially serves as the registration process for membership in ACE, granting the Study Program access to a SIMALAMDIK account to obtain information and services;'
 - b. Evaluation of data and information regarding the quality of Study Program implementation;
 - c. Determination of accreditation Rating based on the evaluation results; and
 - d. Monitoring of compliance with accreditation rating requirements.
- (2) All Educational Study Programs undergoing accreditation shall follow the process as outlined in paragraph (1).
- (3) Study Programs filing an appeal must not only follow the accreditation process as described in paragraph (1) but must also adhere to the appeal submission process, which must be completed no later than one (1) month after the issuance of the Accreditation Decision Letter.

ACCREDITATION PROCESS

Article 4

- (1) The accreditation fee for Bachelor's, Professional, Master's, and Doctoral Programs in the Field of Education, including Article 23 Income Tax (2%), is IDR 52,000,000 (fifty-two million rupiah).
- (2) The accreditation appeal fee for Bachelor's, Professional, Master's, and Doctoral Programs in the Field of Education, including Article 23 Income Tax (2%), is IDR 29,700,000 (twenty-nine million seven hundred thousand rupiah).
- (3) The accreditation fee for new accreditations at State Universities (PTN) and Private Universities (PTS), including Article 23 Income Tax (2%), is IDR 5,000,000 (five million rupiah).
- (4) The accreditation fee for new accreditations and Minimum Accreditation Requirements Compliance at State Universities with Legal Entity Status (PTN-BH), including Article 23 Income Tax (2%), is IDR 25,000,000 (twenty-five million rupiah).
- (5) The fee for International Accreditation Equivalence for Bachelor's, Master's, and Doctoral Programs in the Field of Education, including Article 23 Income Tax (2%), is IDR 15,000,000 (fifteen million rupiah).
- (6) The fees outlined in paragraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), and (5) shall be paid into ACE's designated bank account through a Virtual Account (VA) number, which can be obtained via SIMALAMDIK on the Quality Assurance Account of the respective Higher Education Institution.
- (7) Accreditation fee payments, as specified in paragraph (1), shall be made through one of the following options:
 - a. Single installment payment, amounting to IDR 50,960,000 (fifty million nine hundred sixty thousand rupiah) and Article 23 Income Tax (2%) of IDR 1,040,000 (one million forty thousand rupiah), paid before RO; or
 - b. Two-stage payment, with Stage 1: IDR 5,000,000 (five million rupiah) before RO, and Stage 2: IDR 45,960,000 (forty-five million nine hundred sixty thousand rupiah) and Article 23 Income Tax (2%) of IDR 1,040,000 (one million forty thousand rupiah) before AK; or
 - c. Three-stage payment, with Stage 1: IDR 5,000,000 (five million rupiah)

before RO, Stage 2: IDR 25,000,000 (twenty-five million rupiah) before AK, and Stage 3: IDR 20,960,000 (twenty million nine hundred sixty thousand rupiah) and Article 23 Income Tax (2%) of IDR 1,040,000 (one million forty thousand rupiah) before AL.

- (8) Appeal fee payments, as outlined in paragraph (2), shall be made in a single installment payment, amounting to IDR 29,106,000 (twenty-nine million one hundred six thousand rupiah) and Article 23 Income Tax (2%) of IDR 594,000 (five hundred ninety-four thousand rupiah) before the AL Appeal Process.
- (9) Accreditation fees for new accreditations at PTN and PTS, as stated in paragraph (3), shall be made in a single installment payment, amounting to IDR 4,900,000 (four million nine hundred thousand rupiah) and Article 23 Income Tax (2%) of IDR 100,000 (one hundred thousand rupiah) before submitting the new accreditation application.
- (10) Accreditation fees for new accreditations and Minimum Accreditation Requirements Compliance at PTN-BH, as stated in paragraph (4), shall be made in a single installment payment, amounting to IDR 24,500,000 (twenty-four million five hundred thousand rupiah) and Article 23 Income Tax (2%) of IDR 500,000 (five hundred thousand rupiah) before submitting the new accreditation application and Minimum Accreditation Requirements Compliance.
- (11) Fees for International Accreditation Equivalence, as outlined in paragraph (5), shall be made in a single installment payment, amounting to IDR 14,700,000 (fourteen million seven hundred thousand rupiah) and Article 23 Income Tax (2%) of IDR 300,000 (three hundred thousand rupiah) before submitting the International Accreditation Equivalence application.

ACCREDITATION PROCESS

Article 5

- (1) A Study Program in the Field of Education must complete Online Registration (RO) no later than six (6) months before the expiration of its accreditation validity period in order to be accredited by ACE.
- (2) The accreditation application process is carried out by each Higher Education Institution / Quality Assurance Unit of Higher Education and the

Educational Study Program, which must have a SIMALAMDIK account and Virtual Account (VA) number for the payment of accreditation fees. These accounts are obtained by submitting a request for a SIMALAMDIK account and VA number via email to the ACE Secretariat (email: sekretariat@lamdik.or.id), including the institution code and name. Upon approval, the institution will receive one (1) account for the Higher Education Internal Quality Assurance System Administrator and one (1) account and one (1) VA number for each Study Program.

- (3) RO must be completed by the Study Program for every accreditation application, by uploading the required documents to SIMALAMDIK via <https://sima.lamdik.or.id>, along with:
 - a. A copy of the accreditation fee payment receipt and proof of Article 23 Income Tax (2%) deduction, if paid in a single installment; and
 - b. A copy of the official decree granting permission for the operation of the Study Program, issued by the relevant Ministry.
- (4) Six (6) months before the accreditation validity period expires, the Study Program must submit an accreditation proposal by uploading the accreditation documents and attachments via <https://sima.lamdik.or.id>, consisting of:
 - a. A cover letter from the Higher Education Institution's leadership;
 - b. An official decree granting permission for the Study Program from the relevant Ministry;
 - c. A letter of assignment appointing members of the Study Program Accreditation Team, issued by the Head of the Study Program Management Unit (SPMU);
 - d. A declaration letter, duly stamped, affirming the accuracy and authenticity of the accreditation documents, as well as a plagiarism-free statement, signed by the Higher Education Institution's leadership;
 - e. A Self-Evaluation Report (LED) document;
 - f. A Quantitative Study Program Data File (DKPS), formatted according to the designated template;
 - g. Other supporting documents.
- (5) ACE Verifiers will review the uploaded documents. If any documents are

incomplete, they will be returned for revision. If the documents do not meet the requirements, a new submission will be required.

- (6) Once verified and approved by the ACE Verifier, the Study Program may proceed with the accreditation fee payment, provided that the payment is made in two (2) or three (3) installments. Payment invoices can be generated independently via the SIMALAMDIK Quality Assurance Account.
- (7) The ACE Finance Department will verify the uploaded payment files submitted by the Study Program to confirm payment completion.
- (8) The Accreditation Documents will be assessed through the Adequacy Assessment (AK), which involves evaluation of the accreditation proposal documents, cross-checking information with PDDikti based on the established requirements and plagiarism/similarity testing of the Self-Evaluation Report (LED), particularly Section B (Criteria) and Section C (Problem Analysis), conducted by the Assessor Team.
- (9) Once the AK process has been conducted by the Assessor Team, the AK results will be validated by the AK Validator. If the AK results pass validation, ACE will notify the Study Program that the accreditation process will proceed to the Field Assessment (AL) stage. In cases where three (3) installment payments are selected, the accreditation fee payment will be deducted Article 23 Income Tax (2%). Payment invoices can be generated independently via the SIMALAMDIK Quality Assurance Account.
- (10) The ACE Finance Department will verify the uploaded payment files submitted by the Study Program to confirm payment completion, applicable to cases where payment is made in two (2) or three (3) installments.
- (11) The Onsite Assessment (AL) process consists of an on-site visitation by the Assessor Team to the SPMU and Study Program, aimed at verifying factual conditions and validating the accuracy of the data/information submitted in the accreditation proposal documents.
- (12) The Accreditation Panel (MA) will conduct a Plenary Meeting to discuss and determine the accreditation rating achieved by the Study Program, following validation of the AL assessment results by the Validator.
- (13) The Chairperson of ACE will issue and announce the Accreditation Decision to the Higher Education Institution/SPMU/Study Program and to the

public. If an institution disagrees with the accreditation results, the Higher Education Institution's leadership may file an appeal via the SIMALAMDIK Quality Assurance Account.

ASSESSMENT TEAM

Article 6

- (1) The accreditation Assessment Team at ACE consists of Assessors, Validators, and the Accreditation Panel (MA).
- (2) The Assessment Team is appointed by the Board of Directors based on the assignment criteria for the assessment team.
- (3) Duties of the Assessment Team:
 - a. Assessors are responsible for conducting the Adequacy Assessment (AK) and Onsite Assessment (AL);
 - b. Validators are responsible for validating the AK and AL results conducted by the Assessor Team;
 - c. The Accreditation Panel (MA) is responsible for: (a) Making decisions regarding accreditation status and accreditation ratings; (b) Evaluating applications for international accreditation equivalence and assessing the eligibility of appeals.
- (4) Composition of the Assessment Team:
 - a. The Assessor Team consists of two (2) members per Bachelor's, Master's, Professional Education, and Doctoral Study Program in Education;
 - b. The Validator consists of one (1) member per Bachelor's, Master's, Professional Education, and Doctoral Study Program in Education;
 - c. The Accreditation Panel (MA) consists of a minimum of five (5) members, comprising representatives from the Board of Directors, Experts/Specialists with integrity and expertise in the accredited field, public representatives, and the Director of Accreditation.

ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Article 7

- (1) Adequacy Assessment (AK) is conducted by the Assessor Team within a period of two (2) weeks per Study Program, starting from the date of the

assignment letter issuance.

- (2) Onsite Assessment (AL) is conducted for three (3) days within a period of two (2) weeks after the last date of the AK process.

ADEQUACY ASSESMENT

Article 8

- (1) Adequacy Assessment (AK) of the Accreditation Documents as determined by ACE is conducted individually by the Assessor, after verification confirms that there is no indication of plagiarism, with a maximum similarity index of 35%.
- (2) ACE selects and appoints Assessors to conduct the AK based on the applicable assessment criteria.
- (3) ACE sends information regarding the availability confirmation and assignment details of the AK process to the Assessors.
- (4) Assessors confirm their availability by providing approval, or, if unavailable, must provide a valid reason.
- (5) ACE issues an Assessor Assignment Letter for the AK process.
- (6) The accreditation documents subject to assessment can be downloaded from SIMALAMDIK using the Assessor's Account.
- (7) Assessors shall log in and download the accreditation documents from SIMALAMDIK and conduct individual assessments within one (1) week from the assignment date.
- (8) Assessors must upload the AK assessment results to SIMALAMDIK for validation.
- (9) The validation results are to be downloaded by the Assessor Team for revision and re-uploading after harmonization of perceptions among team members, until the assessment is deemed valid by the Validator.
- (10) The Assessor Team shall agree on the AL schedule and inform the ACE Secretariat of the finalized schedule no later than ten (10) days before the AL site visit date.

VALIDATION OF ADEQUACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Article 9

- (1) Validation of the Adequacy Assessment (AK) results is conducted by one (1) Validator appointed by ACE.
- (2) The Validator confirms their availability by providing approval, or if unavailable, must provide a valid reason.
- (3) Validation can only proceed if the AK results from all assigned Assessors have been uploaded to SIMALAMDIK.
- (4) ACE issues an Assignment Letter for the Validation of AK Results.
- (5) ACE submits the AK results to the Validator through SIMALAMDIK, highlighting discrepancies where the score difference between Assessors exceeds one (1) point in any evaluation criterion or the total score difference exceeds ten (10) points.
- (6) The Validator reviews the AK results provided by the Assessors and provides comments on discrepancies in scores and descriptions where the score difference exceeds one (1) point in any criterion or the total score difference exceeds ten (10) points.
- (7) The Validator may only provide comments on inconsistent or inaccurate scores in the Validator's comment section and may not alter the scores.
- (8) The Validator uploads the validated AK results to SIMALAMDIK within one (1) week for each Study Program.
- (9) The Accreditation Division examines the validation results and provides feedback on the performance of Assessors, including any necessary improvement notes.
- (10) The Assessor Team downloads the validation results, revises them accordingly, and re-uploads them after ensuring alignment of perspectives among Assessors. The final validation results will then be used to schedule the Onsite Assessment (AL) visit.

ONSITE ASSESSMENT

Article 10

- (1) Onsite Assessment (AL) is conducted through verification and validation to obtain evidence, data, information, and performance evaluations of the

Study Program on-site.

- (2) AL is conducted by the same Assessors who performed the AK, except in cases where an Assessor is unable to conduct the AL, in which case ACE will select and appoint a replacement Assessor.
- (3) ACE issues an Assignment Letter for the AL process to the Assessor Team.
- (4) ACE sends an official notification to the Study Program regarding the AL schedule and the names of the assigned Assessors.
- (5) The Assessor Team conducts an on-site visit to the Study Program under assessment.
- (6) The Assessor Team holds an initial meeting to align evaluation perspectives and organize the visitation schedule.
- (7) The Assessor Team meets with the SPMU leadership, Study Program leadership, and the APS preparation team.
- (8) The Assessor Team conducts an on-site inspection and assessment of facilities, infrastructure, and training venues.
- (9) The Assessor Team conducts interviews with SPMU leadership, Study Program leadership, faculty members, students, alumni, employers of graduates, and training schools.
- (10) The Assessor Team evaluates the learning process, micro-teaching practices, and laboratory practices.
- (11) The Assessor Team prepares the Onsite Assessment Report (BAP AL).
- (12) The Assessor Team discusses the contents of the BAP AL with the SPMU leadership and Study Program leadership.
- (13) Upon reaching an agreement, the Assessors, SPMU representatives, and Study Program representatives sign the BAP AL.
- (14) The Assessor Team compiles the AL evaluation results and provides recommendations for program improvement.
- (15) The Assessor Team uploads the AL evaluation results to SIMALAMDIK and submits the BAP AL document to ACE.
- (16) If Validator AL provides feedback requiring document revision, the Assessor Team revises the AL evaluation documents and re-uploads them to SIMALAMDIK.

VALIDATION OF ONSITE ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Article 11

- (1) Validation of the Onsite Assessment (AL) results is conducted by one (1) Validator, appointed by the Director of Accreditation.
- (2) ACE submits the AL results to the Validator.
- (3) The Validator reviews the AL results from the Assessor Team and provides comments on discrepancies in assessment scores and descriptions.
- (4) The Validator may only provide comments on scores that are inaccurate or inconsistent with the Assessor's description, in the Validator's comment section, but may not alter the scores.
- (5) The Validator must complete the validation process within three (3) days for each Study Program and upload the validated AL results to SIMALAMDIK for revision by the Assessor Team, if any discrepancies are found.
- (6) The Validator uploads the final validated AL results to SIMALAMDIK once validation is complete.
- (7) The Director of Accreditation or the Head of the Accreditation Division reviews the Validator's work to ensure proper validation of the AL results.
- (8) ACE processes the validated AL results and submits them to the Accreditation Panel (MA) as the basis for determining the Accreditation Status and Rating.

DETERMINATION OF ACCREDITATION RATING

Article 12

- (1) The Accreditation Rating of a Study Program is determined through a Plenary Meeting of the Accreditation Panel (MA).
- (2) The Director of Accreditation submits the validated results to the Plenary Meeting of MA.
- (3) The MA Plenary Meeting deliberates and decides on the Accreditation Rating of the Study Program, assigning one of the following classifications: Excellent (Unggul), Very Good (Baik Sekali), Good (Baik). The accreditation rating is granted for a validity period of five (5) years.
- (4) If the requirements for Excellent, Very Good, or Good Accreditation Ratings are not met, the MA shall decide that the Study Program Does Not Meet

Accreditation Rating Requirements (TMSP).

- (5) The MA submits the final accreditation rating decision to the Chairperson of ACE.
- (6) The Chairperson of ACE officially determines the Accreditation Rating by issuing an Accreditation Decision Letter (SK), which remains valid for five (5) years. The Higher Education Institution must submit a new accreditation proposal to ACE no later than six (6) months before the accreditation period expires.
- (7) The Chairperson of ACE issues the accreditation Certificate once the Higher Education Institution either does not file an appeal or formally accepts the accreditation decision, no later than one (1) month from the issuance of the accreditation Decision Letter (SK).
- (8) The final accreditation rating decision is publicly announced.

APPEAL SUBMISSION

Article 13

- (1) A Study Program may submit an appeal against the Accreditation Results no later than one (1) month after the issuance of the Accreditation Decision Letter (SK).
- (2) The appeal submission process is carried out by the Higher Education Institution's leadership by sending an official letter addressed to the Chairperson of ACE, accompanied by reasons related to the specific assessment criteria that are being contested in the appeal process.
- (3) Appeal requests may also be submitted by the public through an official letter, along with supporting reasons, addressed to the Chairperson of ACE.
- (4) The Accreditation Directorate reviews the appeal request and submits its findings to the Accreditation Panel (MA).
- (5) The Accreditation Panel (MA) conducts a Plenary Meeting and decides to either approve or reject the appeal request.
- (6) If the appeal request is approved, the applicant will receive a notification that the appeal process will proceed to the Onsite Assessment (AL) for Appeal, and an invoice for the appeal fee will be issued via the SIMALAMDIK Quality Assurance and Study Program Account.

- (7) No later than two (2) weeks after receiving the invoice, the applicant must complete the payment via the Study Program's Virtual Account (VA).
- (8) After completing the payment, the Study Program must upload a scanned copy of the proof of appeal fee payment and the proof of Article 23 Income Tax (2%) deduction to their SIMALAMDIK account.
- (9) The Finance Department will verify the uploaded appeal payment documents to confirm the completion of the appeal fee payment.
- (10) ACE appoints a new Assessor Team for the AL appeal process, which must be different from the original Assessor Team.
- (11) ACE issues an Assignment Letter for the AL appeal process Assessors, who may be accompanied by the Head of the Division, if necessary.
- (12) The Director of Accreditation submits the AL appeal results to the MA Plenary Meeting.
- (13) The MA Plenary Meeting deliberates on the AL appeal results and determines the final appeal decision.
- (14) The Accreditation Panel (MA) submits the final Accreditation Decision in the form of an Official Record (Berita Acara) to the Chairperson of ACE.
- (15) The Chairperson of ACE officially determines and issues the Accreditation Decision Letter (SK) and Accreditation Certificate based on the appeal results.

MONITORING OF ACCREDITATION RATING

Article 14

- (1) To monitor and evaluate the Study Programs that have obtained an Accreditation Rating from ACE, ensuring that the quality of the Study Program remains consistent with its accreditation rating, and to facilitate continuous quality improvement in accordance with the recommendations provided by the Assessor Team in the evaluation process, monitoring of compliance with accreditation rating requirements shall be conducted.
- (2) Monitoring shall be conducted for all Study Programs accredited by ACE within the following timeframes:
 - a. Study Programs accredited with an Excellent (Unggul) Rating shall be monitored once, at least three (3) years after the issuance date of the Accreditation Decision Letter (SK);

- b. Study Programs accredited with a Very Good (Baik Sekali) Rating shall be monitored once, at least two (2) years after the issuance date of the Accreditation Decision Letter (SK);
 - c. Study Programs accredited with a Good (Baik) Rating shall be monitored once, at least one (1) year after the issuance date of the Accreditation Decision Letter (SK).
- (3) Monitoring shall be conducted through an online Onsite Assessment (AL) system, which shall take place once within the five (5)-year accreditation period, unless deemed necessary to be conducted more than once and/or in cases where assistance is requested by the Higher Education Institution and approved by the Director of Accreditation.
 - (4) The monitoring results, as referred to in paragraph (3), shall be submitted by ACE to the Higher Education Institution. If there are recommendations for improvement, they must be followed up accordingly.
 - (5) The cost of monitoring implementation shall be covered by ACE, except in cases where monitoring and/or assistance is requested by the Higher Education Institution, in which case the costs shall be borne by the respective Higher Education Institution.
 - (6) The technical implementation of monitoring shall be further regulated in a separate regulation.

RE-ACCREDITATION

Article 15

- (1) Re-Accreditation is conducted by ACE for Study Programs whose accreditation validity period is about to expire.
- (2) The Study Program must apply for Re-Accreditation six (6) months before the expiration of its accreditation validity period, following the procedures outlined in Article 5, paragraphs (4) to (13).

RE-ACCREDITATION BEFORE THE ACCREDITATION RATING VALIDITY PERIOD EXPIRES

Article 16

- (1) The Higher Education Institution's leadership may request Re-Accreditation

of a Study Program's Accreditation Rating determined by ACE or BAN-PT before the accreditation rating validity period expires.

- (2) The Higher Education Institution's leadership may submit a new accreditation application to ACE no sooner than two (2) years after receiving the Accreditation Rating Decision from ACE or BAN-PT.
- (3) The procedures, stages, and costs for Re-Accreditation before the accreditation rating validity period expires shall be subject to the same provisions as regular accreditation.

ACCREDITATION OF NEW EDUCATIONAL STUDY PROGRAMS

Article 17

- (1) Every new Educational Study Program at a State University (PTN), Private University (PTS), or State University with Legal Entity Status (PTN-BH) must meet the minimum accreditation requirements.
- (2) A new Educational Study Program that meets the minimum accreditation requirements after receiving official approval for its establishment may be granted a Good (Baik) Accreditation Rating by ACE.

Article 18

The initial ACCREDITATION application for new Study Programs at PTN and PTS, as referred to in Article 17, shall follow these procedures:

1. The Rector submits an accreditation application via SIMALAMDIK through the official portal <https://sima.lamdik.or.id>, accompanied by:
 - a. Proof of payment and proof of Article 23 Income Tax (2%) deduction for the new accreditation fee at PTN and PTS, as stipulated in Article 4, paragraphs (3) and (9); and
 - b. A copy of the Ministerial Decree granting approval for the establishment of the Educational Study Program;
 - c. Proof that the new Educational Study Program is registered in PDDikti.
2. ACE reviews the administrative documents, and if any document is incomplete, it will be returned to the proposing Higher Education Institution for completion.
3. If the documents are deemed complete, ACE issues a new accreditation

decision letter (SK) granting a Good (Baik) Accreditation Rating, which remains valid for two (2) years from the date the Educational Study Program begins admitting new students. This accreditation cannot be extended, and the Study Program must submit a new accreditation application to ACE no later than six (6) months before the accreditation validity period expires.

4. The final accreditation rating decision is publicly announced.

Article 19

The initial accreditation application for new Study Programs at PTN-BH, as referred to in Article 17, shall be conducted in two (2) stages:

1. The Rector submits an application for Minimum accreditation Requirement Compliance via SIMALAMDIK through the official portal <https://sima.lamdik.or.id>, accompanied by:
 - a. Proof of payment for the new accreditation fee at PTN-BH and proof of Article 23 Income Tax (2%) deduction, as stipulated in Article 4, paragraphs (4) and (10);
 - b. Evaluation report on the new Study Program proposal conducted by the University Senate, based on the Minimum accreditation Requirements of ACE (each new Study Program proposal must include the Senate's evaluation results along with supporting evidence).
2. ACE reviews the administrative documents, and if any document is incomplete, it will be returned to the proposing Higher Education Institution for completion.
3. If the documents are deemed complete, the ACE Validator conducts validation of the minimum accreditation requirements. If the requirements are not met, the documents will be returned to the proposing Higher Education Institution for revision.
4. If the requirements are met, the Validator provides a recommendation to MA ACE for further consideration.
5. The Accreditation Panel (MA) holds a Plenary Meeting to review and decide on the minimum accreditation requirement compliance.
6. If the minimum accreditation requirements are not met, the MA shall decide that the Study Program Does Not Meet the Minimum Accreditation

Requirements (TMSP) and must undergo further revisions.

7. The MA submits the Plenary Meeting Decision to the Chairperson of ACE.
8. If the Plenary Meeting Decision confirms compliance with the minimum accreditation requirements, the Chairperson of ACE issues an SK for Minimum ACCREDITATION Requirement Compliance, allowing the Rector of PTN-BH to issue an SK for the Establishment of the New Study Program and register it in PDDikti.
9. The Rector submits an accreditation application via SIMALAMDIK through the official portal <https://sima.lamdik.or.id>, accompanied by:
 - a. A copy of the Rector's Decree on the Establishment of the New Educational Study Program;
 - b. Proof that the new Educational Study Program is registered in PDDikti.
10. ACE reviews the administrative documents, and if any document is incomplete, it will be returned to the proposing Higher Education Institution for completion.
11. If the documents are deemed complete, ACE issues a new accreditation decision letter (SK) granting a Good (Baik) Accreditation Rating, which remains valid for two (2) years from the date the Educational Study Program begins admitting new students. This accreditation cannot be extended, and the Study Program must submit a new accreditation application to ACE no later than six (6) months before the accreditation validity period expires.
12. The final accreditation rating decision is publicly announced.

RECOGNITION OF INTERNATIONAL ACCREDITATION

Article 20

- (1) Study Programs that have obtained an Accreditation Rating from ACE and/or BAN-PT with a minimum rating of Very Good (Baik Sekali) and/or B may apply for Accreditation to an International Accreditation Agency recognized by the Minister.
- (2) The valid Accreditation results issued by the International Accreditation Agency, as referred to in paragraph (1), shall be recognized as equivalent to an Excellent (Unggul) Accreditation Rating.
- (3) The Accreditation results that may be recognized, as referred to in paragraph

(2), include full accreditation, general accreditation, or accredited status, but do not include provisional accreditation, conditional accreditation, or similar classifications.

(4) To obtain recognition, as referred to in paragraph (2), the Higher Education Institution may submit an application to ACE immediately after the Study Program receives International Accreditation, following these steps:

1. The Higher Education Institution's leadership submits an official request for recognition to ACE, accompanied by:
 - a. A copy of the proof of payment and proof of Article 23 Income Tax (2%) deduction, as stipulated in Article 4, paragraphs (5) and (11);
 - b. A copy of the Accreditation Certificate of the Study Program issued by ACE and/or BAN-PT;
 - c. A copy of the valid Accreditation Certificate issued by the International Accreditation Agency, which must remain valid for at least one (1) year at the time of the recognition application submission; and
 - d. A report on the International Accreditation application process submitted by the Study Program to the International Accreditation Agency.
2. ACE reviews the administrative documents, and if any document is incomplete, it will be returned to the applicant Higher Education Institution for completion.
3. If the documents are deemed complete, the ACE Validator conducts validation and provides a recommendation to the Accreditation Panel (MA) of ACE for further consideration.
4. The Accreditation Panel (MA) holds a Plenary Meeting to assess and decide whether the Study Program's International Accreditation meets the requirements for equivalency with an Excellent (Unggul) Accreditation Rating.
5. The MA submits the Plenary Meeting Decision to the Chairperson of ACE.
6. The Chairperson of ACE issues an Accreditation Decision Letter (SK) granting an Excellent (Unggul) Accreditation Rating, which remains valid until the expiration date of the International Accreditation issued by the International Accreditation Agency, or for a maximum of five (5) years, if

the International Accreditation validity period exceeds five (5) years.

7. The final accreditation equivalency decision is publicly announced.
- (5) The renewal of International Accreditation Recognition by ACE shall follow the procedures outlined in paragraph (4).

TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 21

Study Programs that have applied for Re-Accreditation from BAN-PT or ACE and have submitted an accreditation application to ACE but have not yet received an Accreditation Rating Decision shall retain the same Accreditation Rating as previously granted by BAN-PT or ACE, which shall remain valid until the issuance of the new Accreditation Rating Decision by ACE. However, if the Study Program fails to submit the Accreditation Documents or Self-Evaluation Report (SER) by the final submission deadline, the previous Accreditation Rating shall be rendered invalid.

FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 22

- (1) Upon the enforcement of this ACE Regulation, ACE Regulation Number 25 of 2022 on the Accreditation Mechanism for Educational Study Programs under the ACE is hereby revoked and declared null and void.
- (2) All ACE Regulations that are inconsistent with this ACE Regulation shall be declared null and void.
- (3) This ACE Regulation shall take effect on the date of its official enactment.

Enacted in Jakarta
On June 5, 2023

Chairperson


 Muchlas Samani